




5-1991

Appendix to the final report of the RFAC. Statistical summary of public responses to key issues.

Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee Western Australia.

Follow this and additional works at: https://library.dpird.wa.gov.au/fr_fmp

 Part of the [Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons](#), [Marine Biology Commons](#), [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#), and the [Recreation Business Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee Western Australia.. (1991), *Appendix to the final report of the RFAC. Statistical summary of public responses to key issues.*. Fisheries Department Western Australia, Perth. Report No. 42.

This report is brought to you for free and open access by the Fishing & aquaculture at Digital Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fisheries management papers by an authorized administrator of Digital Library. For more information, please contact library@dpird.wa.gov.au.

42

Fisheries management report

Appendix to the final report of the RFAC

Statistical summary
of public responses
to key issues

Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee
Western Australia

Fisheries management paper No. 42



Fisheries Department of Western Australia
108 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004
May 1991

Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Statistical Summaries	3
5.1 Environment	3
5.2 Resource sharing	3
5.3 Access for fishing	6
5.4 Research	7
5.5 Management rules	8
5.6 Enforcement	22
5.7 Charter fishing	24
5.8 Funding and licensing	26
5.9 Community consultation	29
5.10 Public awareness and community education	30
Appendices	31
1. Submission Questionnaire Issues 5.1 - 5.10	31
2. Summary of Issues Questionnaire	33
3. Community Opinion Questionnaire	34
4. Survey of Key Issues - Preliminary Information	36
5. Survey of Key Issues - Public Meetings	39

Introduction

In March 1990, the Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee of Western Australia (RFAC) launched its public discussion paper "The Future for Recreational Fishing - Issues for Community Discussion" (*Fisheries Management Paper No. 34. Fisheries Department of Western Australia 1990*).

The Committee's brief was to identify the key issues that would affect the sustainability of WA's recreational fisheries into the 1990s and beyond, and to suggest management strategies for dealing with them.

Submissions on the discussion paper were then invited from the general public and interested groups, regional liaison committees were established to coordinate local responses, and an exhaustive series of public meetings were held in fishing centres throughout the state to canvass public opinion.

To assist the RFAC, staff of the Fisheries Research Division at WAMRL examined the public responses to the discussion paper, and various other surveys, and compiled this statistical summary of responses to the issues raised by the Committee. This report was then made available to the Committee to assist it in its deliberations.

This paper has been published as a separate appendix to the final report of the Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee, and should be read in conjunction with it, and the Committee's discussion paper ("Final report of the Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee - *Fisheries Management Paper No.41, 1991*).

Statistical summary

The key issues that were identified in the discussion paper came under the following headings:

- 5.1 Environment
- 5.2 Resource sharing
- 5.3 Access for fishing
- 5.4 Research
- 5.5 Management rules
- 5.6 Enforcement
- 5.7 Charter fishing
- 5.8 Funding and licensing
- 5.9 Community consultation
- 5.10 Public awareness and community education.

The numbering of the issues above and in the summary which follows is the same numbering as in the discussion paper.

Responses from the community to the key issues were computerised to determine the number of people who commented on an issue, and their opinions on the issue.

Three types of responses were received:

Written submissions. These were in the form of written comments to the Advisory Committee which addressed some or all of the key issues raised.

Questionnaires. Some angling clubs or individuals summarised some of the issues identified in the discussion document and developed a questionnaire in order to assist people to make submissions to the Advisory Committee (see Appendices 1-3). These have been called Questionnaire 1, 2 and 3 in the results which follow.

Two Recreational Fishing Regional Liaison Committees - Geraldton Mid-West and South West - also produced their own questionnaires to canvass opinions in their area (Appendix 4) and they analyzed the response and produced a summary of the results found.

Public Meeting Surveys. A number of public meetings were held throughout the state to discuss the key issues raised in the document. At the end of these meetings the audience was asked to fill out a questionnaire which asked for opinions on the issues which had been discussed (Appendix 5).

The number of responses received, including those by the liaison committees and at the public meetings, were as follows:

<i>Written submissions</i>	435
<i>Questionnaire submissions</i>	
Questionnaire 1	172
Questionnaire 2	30
Questionnaire 3	130
Questionnaire Geraldton Mid-West	134
Questionnaire South West	764
<i>Public Meeting Survey</i>	674
Total	2339

If the fact that some of the written submissions were signed by more than one person is taken into account then the total number of responses is 3149.

The written submissions could be classified in the following categories:

Individual Persons/Petitions	336
Angling Clubs	37
Diving Clubs	6
Other Clubs (boating, yachting, etc.)	20
Tourist Bureaux	4
Shires	10
Government Departments/Authorities	10
Regional Recreational Fishing Committees	12
Total	435

In the following summary of opinions on the key issues the responses from individual persons and angling clubs are listed separately while all other written submissions are combined. Some of the written submissions were deleted when there was more than one submission from the same person.

For some of the issues there were some differences in the phrasing of the questions being asked for the different questionnaires. These differences are summarised under the results for the questionnaire. The complete wording for each question is available in the Appendices 1 to 5.

Acknowledgement

The Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee wishes to thank N.Caputi, J. Sudmeyer and R. Lenanton of the Fisheries Research Division (WAMRL) for their invaluable assistance in compiling this summary of responses.

Issue:

5.1 The environment - EPA should formally consult the Fisheries Department when setting environmental guidelines or assessing environmental impact statements for projects that may affect aquatic resources.

- More enforcement and education to prevent environmental damage.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	56	17	55	98			1	2		
Angling Clubs	14	38	14	100			0	0		
Other	28	45	28	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	28	97			1	3		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	122	94			4	3	4	3
Mid-west	129	96	125	97			4	3		
South West	767		724	94			31	4	12	2
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.2 Resource Sharing- Strategy 2 - Creation of recreational fishing areas.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	60	18	57	95			3	5		
Angling Clubs	15	40	12	80			3	20		
Other	24	39	19	79			5	21		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	26	87	23	88			3	12		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	73	56	1	1	50	38	6	5
Mid-west	114	85	71	62			43	38		
South West	769		511	66			195	25	63	8
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.2 Resource Sharing- Strategy 3 - Stock enhancement using aquaculture and artificial reefs

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	47	14	46	98	0	0	1	2		
Angling Clubs	15	40	13	87	0	0	2	13		
Other	16	26	12	75	1	6	3	19		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	25	83	23	92		2	8			
Questionnaire 3	130	100	122	94		2	2	6	5	
Mid-west	122	91	112	92			10	8		
South West	770		648	84			66	9	56	7
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.2 Resource Sharing- Strategy 4 - Changing the fish resource share e.g. buyback schemes

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	37	11	34	92			3	8		
Angling Clubs	11	30	10	91			1	9		
Other	22	35	20	91			2	9		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	28	93	26	93			2	7		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	98	75	1	1	17	13	14	11
Mid-west	104	78	84	81			20	19		
South West	768		585	76			88	11	95	12
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.2 Resource Sharing- Strategy 5 - Trawl research in Geographe Bay.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	24	80	21	87			3	12		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	122	94	1	1	3	2	4	3
	(RESTRICT trawling in recreational fishing areas)									
Mid-West	131	98	122	93			9	7		
	(RESTRICT trawling in recreational fishing areas)									
South West	768		726	95			34	4	8	1
	(RESTRICT trawling in recreational fishing areas)									
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.3 Access for fishing-

Strategy 1 - Code of practice.

Strategy 2 - Government planning.

Strategy 3 - Planning for recreational fishing access.

Strategy 4 - Access to government managed land.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	37	11	31	84			6	16		
Angling Clubs	13	35	13	100			0	0		
Other	26	42	23	88			3	12		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	26	87	23	88			3	12		
			(Strategy 1)							
	23	77	21	91			2	9		
			(Strategy 2)							
	26	87	22	85			4	15		
			(Strategy 3)							
	28	93	26	93			2	7		
			(Strategy 4)							
Questionnaire 3	130	100	85	65			27	21	18	14
Mid-west	117	87	102	87			15	13		
South West	762		550	72			130	17	82	11
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.4 Research- Strategy 1 - Appointment of research staff.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	51	15	46	90			5	10		
Angling Clubs	18	49	17	94			1	6		
Other	28	45	28	97			1	3		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	25	86			2	7		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West	769		619	80			96	12	54	7
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.4 Research- Strategy 2 - Creation of an information base and data system.

Strategy 3 - Prepare summaries of past research on recreationally important species.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	34	10	34	100			0	0		
Angling Clubs	13	35	12	92			1	8		
Other	25	40	25	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	28	93	26	93			1	4		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	114	88			7	5	9	7
Mid-west	130	97	119	92			11	8		
South West	769		619	80			96	12	54	7
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.1 Management Rules (Minority Group Access)- Strategy 1 -
Legislation defining aboriginal rights.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	52	15	52	100			0	0		
Angling Clubs	17	46	17	100			0	0		
Other	24	39	24	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	22	73	20	91			2	9		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	112	86	8	6	8	6	2	2
Mid-west	129	96	4	3			125	97		
	(EXEMPT Aborigines from Fisheries regulations)									
South West	766		59	8			670	87	37	5
	(EXEMPT Aborigines from Fisheries regulations)									
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.1 Management Rules (Minority Group Access)- Strategy 2 -
Define holders of Professional Fisher's Licence (PFL)
recreational fishing entitlements.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	31	9	30	97			1	3		
Angling Clubs	9	24	8	89			1	11		
Other	18	29	17	94			1	6		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	23	77	20	87			3	13		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	37	29			82	64	11	8
Mid-west	109	81	47	43			62	57		
South West	767		286	37			369	48	112	15
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.2 Management Rules (Closed waters) - Strategy - Further promotion of closed areas.

5.5.3 Management Rules (Closed seasons) - Strategy - Closed seasons continue.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	46	14	45	98			1	2		
Angling Clubs	10	27	10	100			0	0		
Other	26	42	26	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	22	73	20	91			2	9		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Bag Limits and Minimum Sizes) - Strategy 1 - Four categories of bag limits. "Prize Fish" (bag limit of 5/person/day).

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	174	52	95	55	62	36	17	10		
Angling Clubs	35	95	18	51	14	40	3	9		
Other	38	61	13	34	21	55	5	11		
Questionnaire 1	172	100	155	90	12	7	5	3		
Questionnaire 2	30	100	19	63	11	37	0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	654	97	393	60	104	16	157	24		

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Bag Limits and Minimum Sizes) - Strategy 1 - Four categories of bag limits. "Reef Fish" (mixed bag limit of 10/person/day).

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	177	53	95	54	65	37	17	10		
Angling Clubs	35	95	18	51	14	40	3	9		
Other	37	60	13	35	21	57	3	8		
Questionnaire 1	172	100	150	87	17	10	5	3		
Questionnaire 2	30	100	21	70	9	30	0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	654	97	392	60	105	16	157	24		

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Bag Limits and Minimum Sizes) - Strategy 1 - Four categories of bag limits. "Table Fish" (bag limit of 20/person/day).

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	173	51	128	74	28	16	17	10		
Angling Clubs	35	95	19	54	13	37	3	9		
Other	38	61	18	47	17	45	3	8		
Questionnaire 1	172	100	155	90	12	7	5	3		
Questionnaire 2	30	100	20	67	10	33	0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	654	97	388	59	109	17	157	24		

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Bag Limits and Minimum Sizes) - Strategy 1 - Four categories of bag limits. "Bread and Butter Fish" (bag limit of 50/person/day).

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	178	53	120	67	40	22	18	10		
Angling Clubs	34	92	15	44	16	47	3	9		
Other	35	56	17	49	14	40	4	11		
Questionnaire 1	172	100	143	83	24	14	5	3		
Questionnaire 2	30	100	20	67	10	33	0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	654	97	387	59	110	17	157	24		

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Trip Limits) - a trip limit of twice the daily bag limit.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	110	33	33	30			77	70		
Angling Clubs	16	43	4	25			12	75		
Other	21	34	11	52			10	48		
Questionnaire 1	117	66	93	79	5	4	19	16		
Questionnaire 2	9	30	7	78			2	22		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	32	25	1	1	80	61	17	13
Mid-west	117	87	76	65			41	35		
South West										
Public Meetings	635	94	322	51			313	49		

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Trip Limits) - a trip limit greater than two days bag limit.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	15	4	15	100			0	0		
Angling Clubs	7	19	7	100			0	0		
Other	5	15	5	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1	42	24	42	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 2	15	50	15	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Trip Limits) - a trip limit by weight e.g. weight of fillets.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	16	5	15	94			1	6		
Angling Clubs	3	8	3	100			0	0		
Other	4	6	3	75			1	25		
Questionnaire 1	5	3	5	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 2	2	7	2	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Trip Limits) - a ban on filletting of fish.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	27	21			91	70	12	9
Mid-west	118	88	48	41			70	59		
South West	763		233	31			438	57	92	12
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.4 Management Rules (Bag Limits and Minimum Sizes) - Strategy 2 - No minimum lengths on small species within the "50" bag limit category.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	45	13	25	56			20	40		
Angling Clubs	14	38	5	36			9	64		
Other	16	26	14	87			2	13		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	25	83	14	56	1	4	10	40		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 3 -
Netting methods - ban/restrict netting in estuaries.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	69	20	63	91			6	9		
Angling Clubs	8	22	4	50			4	50		
Other	7	11	7	100			4	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west	121	90	86	71			35	29		
South West	764		546	71			157	21	61	8
Public Meetings	416	62	416	100						

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 3 -
Netting methods - ban/restrict netting in all waters.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	153	46	105	69			48	31		
Angling Clubs	22	59	15	68			7	32		
Other	22	35	20	91			2	9		
Questionnaire 1	91	53	64	70	10	11	17	19		
	(EXCEPT for prawn, crab, and marron nets)									
	80	47	79	99	0	0	1	1		
	(EXCEPT for prawn, crab, marron and throw nets)									
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	70	54			52	40	8	6
Mid-west	121	90	86	71			35	29		
South West	764		546	71			157	21	61	8
Public Meetings	328	49	328	100						

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 2 - Other capture methods - ban/restrict use of longlines by amateurs.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	26	8	23	88			3	12		
Angling Clubs	12	32	12	100			0	0		
Other	11	18	11	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	58	45			52	40	20	15
Mid-west										
South West	767		527	69			147	19	93	12
Public Meetings	514	76	514	100						
(BAN)										
	517	77	467	90			50	10		
(LIMIT the number of hooks to 12 per rig)										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 1 - Line fishing and angling methods - ban/restrict use of droplines by amateurs.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	22	6	17	77			5	23		
Angling Clubs	8	22	7	87			1	13		
Other	10	16	10	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	26	87	23	88			3	12		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	58	45			52	40	20	15
Mid-west										
South West	767		527	69			147	19	93	12
Public Meetings	243	36	243	100						
(BAN)										
	524	78	408	78			116	22		
(LIMIT the number of hooks to 4 per rig)										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 2 - Other capture methods - ban use of unattended set lines.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	35	10	30	86			5	14		
Angling Clubs	13	35	13	100			0	0		
Other	15	24	15	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	499	74	499	100						
(BAN)										
	560	83	501	89			59	11		
(LIMIT the number of hooks to 9 per rig)										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 5 - Terminal rigs - restrict the number of hooks permitted on "baitchaser" type of terminal rig.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	16	5	12	75			4	25		
Angling Clubs	8	22	5	63			3	37		
Other	8	13	8	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	24	80	23	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	263	39	263	100						

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 7 -
Encourage responsible "low kill" gamefishing competitions.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	3	1	1	33			2	67		
Angling Clubs	1	3	1	100			0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	56	8	56	100						
(Game fishing should be banned)										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 2 - Other
capture methods - ban/restrict spear fishing with the aid of
compressed air.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	71	21	25	35			46	65		
Angling Clubs	13	35	3	23			10	77		
Other	25	40	9	36			16	64		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	29	22	2	1	93	71	6	5
Mid-west	110	82	63	57			47	43		
South West	768		363	47			327	43	78	10
Public Meetings	276	41	276	100						

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 2 - Other capture methods - ban/restrict free diving spear fishing.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	24	7	2	8			22	92		
Angling Clubs	8	22	2	25			6	75		
Other	10	16	0	0			10	100		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	32	25	4	3	89	69	5	4
Mid-west	108	80	45	42			63	58		
South West	764		369	48			301	39	94	12
Public Meetings	64	9	64	100						

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 2 - Other capture methods - ban the use of fish traps by amateurs.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	28	8	26	93			2	7		
Angling Clubs	12	32	12	100			0	0		
Other	12	19	12	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 4 - Random Tagging - discourage the random tagging of fish by amateurs.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	4	1	3	75			1	25		
Angling Clubs	4	11	4	100			0	0		
Other	6	10	4	67			2	33		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	26	87	2	8			24	92		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	26	20			72	55	32	25
Mid-west	97	73	9	9			88	91		
South West	768		158	21			354	46	256	33
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 4 - Random Tagging - amateurs to take part in managed tagging programs rather than random tagging.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	21	6	21	100			0	0		
Angling Clubs	13	35	13	100			0	0		
Other	6	10	6	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.5.5 Fishing Methods (Tackle and Tactics) - Strategy 7 -
Encourage responsible "low kill" fishing competitions.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	36	11	34	94			2	6		
Angling Clubs	13	35	12	92			1	8		
Other	15	24	15	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	25	83	24	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	26	20			72	55	32	25
	(RESTRICT fishing competitions)									
Mid-west	119	89	27	23			92	77		
	(RESTRICT fishing competitions)									
South West	767		205	27			452	59	110	14
	(RESTRICT fishing competitions)									
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.6.1 Enforcement (Field Enforcement Issues) - Strategy 1 -
Appointment of North-West fisheries officers.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	28	8	26	93			2	7		
Angling Clubs	10	27	10	100			0	0		
Other	24	39	24	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	24	80	23	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.6.1 Enforcement (Field Enforcement Issues) - Strategy 1 -
Appointment of more fisheries officers through out the state.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	45	13	43	96			2	4		
Angling Clubs	11	30	11	100			0	0		
Other	4	6	4	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3	130	100	83	64	1	1	39	30	7	5
Mid-west	125	94	100	80			25	20		
South West	763		500	66			190	25	73	10
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.6.1 Enforcement (Field Enforcement Issues) - Strategy 2 -
Higher penalties for illegal sales ("shamateurs").

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	93	28	78	84			15	16		
Angling Clubs	21	57	18	86			3	14		
Other	29	47	29	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1	167	97	154	92	1	1	12	7		
Questionnaire 2	25	83	23	92			2	8		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West	763		338	44			343	45	82	11
Public Meetings	661	98	621	94			40	6		

Issue:

5.6.1 Enforcement (Field Enforcement Issues) - Strategy 3 -
Provision of adequate enforcement resources - volunteer liaison
fisheries officers.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	36	11	35	97			1	3		
Angling Clubs	14	38	14	100			0	0		
Other	18	29	16	89			2	11		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	25	83	24	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.6.2 Enforcement (Penalties) - Strategy 1 - Unit value penalty
system.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	20	6	18	90			2	10		
Angling Clubs	7	19	5	71			2	29		
Other	8	13	8	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	23	77	22	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.6.2 Enforcement (Penalties) - Strategy 2 - Introduction of infringement notices (on-the-spot-fines).

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	42	12	39	93			3	7		
Angling Clubs	12	32	8	67			4	33		
Other	22	35	21	95			1	5		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	24	80	22	92			2	8		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	69	53	1	1	48	37	12	9
Mid-west	123	92	88	72			35	28		
South West	768		396	52			299	39	73	9
Public Meetings	651	97	446	69			205	31		

Issue:

5.7 Charter Fishing - Strategy 1 - All charter boats to be subject to recreational fishing rules.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	40	12	37	93			3	7		
Angling Clubs	12	32	12	100			0	0		
Other	25	40	23	92			2	8		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	28	97			1	3		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	106	81			13	10	11	8
Mid-west	121	90	116	96			5	4		
South West	764		637	83			80	10	47	6
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.7 Charter Fishing - Strategy 2 - information service to target charter boats.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	25	83	24	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.7 Charter Fishing - Strategy 3 - Catch monitoring of charter boats.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	24	80	23	96			1	4		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.8 Funding and Licensing - Strategy - A recreational fishing licence to fund recreational fishing ONLY for the use of recreational fishing management/research and not for general government use.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	229	68	24	10	70	31	135	59		
Angling Clubs	31	84	3	10	7	23	21	68		
Other	44	71	5	11	15	34	24	55		
Questionnaire 1	170	99	84	49	7	4	79	47		
Questionnaire 2	29	97	20	69	4	14	5	17		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	28	21	10	8	87	67	5	4
	(To fund recreational fishing development)									
	130	100	30	23	5	4	85	65	10	8
	(To fund research)									
Mid-west	117	87	45	38			72	62		
	(To fund recreational fishing development)									
	115	85	49	43			66	57		
	(To fund research)									
South West	769		169	22			543	71	57	7
	(To fund recreational fishing development)									
	768	91	184	24			507	66	77	10
	(To fund research)									
Public Meetings	665	99	387	58	3	<1	275	41		

Issue:

5.8 Funding and Licencing - Strategy - Specific licences remain/ be introduced e.g. freshwater (marron & trout) / marine (rock lobster) / netting.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	5	1	3	60			2	40		
Angling Clubs	1	3	1	100			0	0		
Other	1	2	1	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	658	98	240	36			418	64		

Issue:

5.9 Community Consultation - Strategy 3 - If a recreational fishing licence were introduced, then the money raised to be put into a trust account (not into consolidated revenue) to be administered by a central advisory committee.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	30	9	29	97			1	3		
Angling Clubs	6	16	6	100			0	0		
Other	15	24	15	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	27	93			2	7		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	533	79	488	92			45	8		

Issue:

5.8 Funding and Licencing - Strategy - No recreational fishing licence - government to fund recreational fishing from consolidated revenue.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	38	11	38	100			0	0		
Angling Clubs	14	38	14	100			0	0		
Other	13	21	13	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	2	7	2	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.8 Funding and Licencing - The current level of government expenditure on recreational fishing is sufficient.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	656	97	64	10			592	90		

Issue:

5.9 Community Consultation - Strategy 1 - Establishment of a Central Advisory Committee.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	29	9	26	90	0	0	3	10		
Angling Clubs	11	30	9	82	0	0	2	18		
Other	19	31	18	95	1	5	0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	26	90			3	10		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	99	76	1	1	17	13	13	10
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings	653	97	527	81			126	19		

Issue:

5.9 Community Consultation - Strategy 2 - Establishment of a Regional Consultative Committee.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	15	4	14	93			1	7		
Angling Clubs	6	16	4	67			2	33		
Other	15	24	14	93			1	7		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	29	97	26	90			3	10		
Questionnaire 3	130	100	99	76	1	1	17	13	13	10
Mid-west	113	85	96	85			17	15		
South West	768		559	73			132	17	77	10
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.10 Public Awareness and Community Education

Strategy 1 - Realistic funding i.e. \$250,000 p.a. instead of 40,000 p.a.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals										
Angling Clubs										
Other										
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	26	87	24	92			2	8		
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west										
South West										
Public Meetings										

Issue:

5.10 Public Awareness and Community Education

Strategy 2 - Community awareness / education programmes.

Strategy 3 - Community education officers.

	Response		Support		Qualified Support		Not Support		Not Sure	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Written:										
Individuals	72	21	70	97			2	3		
Angling Clubs	17	46	17	100			0	0		
Other	35	56	35	100			0	0		
Questionnaire 1										
Questionnaire 2	28	93	24	86			4	14		
(Strategy 5)	26	87	23	88			3	12		
(Strategy 3)										
Questionnaire 3										
Mid-west	126	94	121	96			5	4		
South West	765		678	89			61	8	26	3
Public Meetings										

Appendix 1

To: The Executive Officer
 Rec. Fishing Advisory Committee
 c/o: Fisheries Dept.,
 108 Adelaide Terrace
 East Perth, WA 6004

From: _____
 Address: _____

 Date: _____

Re: Submission - "The Future for Recreational Fishing"
 Discussion Paper, March 1990 (Fisheries management paper No. 34)

5.1 THE ENVIRONMENT;

- ☒ I agree with strategies (1) EPA consultation with Dept. of Fisheries, and
 (2) effective enforcement and education to prevent environmental damage.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest _____

5.2 RESOURCE SHARING

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-use of education and consulting programs.
☐ I agree with strategy 2-provision of Recreational Fishing areas.
☐ I agree with strategy 3-there should be a stock enhancement program.
☐ I agree with strategy 4-fish resources should be shared.
☐ I agree with strategy 5-Geographe Bay trawling research upgrading.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest that _____

5.3 FISHING ACCESS

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-a Code of Practice for controlling access to the foreshore.
☐ I agree with strategy 2-government planning should be utilized in planning and funding facilities for recreational facilities.
☐ I agree with strategy 3-recreational fishing access should be planned.
☒ I agree with strategy 4-the WA Rec. & Sportfishing Council should be consulted when govt. land access decisions affect recreational fishermen.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest _____

5.4 RESEARCH

- ☒ I agree with strategy (1) appointment of a research officer, and (2) establishment of an information and data base.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest that _____

5.5 MANAGEMENT RULES

- ☐ I agree with strategy 1 - legislation defining Aboriginal rights.
☐ I agree with strategy 2 - defining professional fishermen's rights as recreational fishermen.
☒ I agree with the strategy that the Fisheries Department promote public awareness on information available.
☐ I agree with the strategy of closed seasons where justified by research.

5.5.4 BAG LIMITS AND MINIMUM SIZES YYY- - - Y-

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-the concept of fish categories.
☐ I generally agree but would like to make the following changes:-
 Category 1 "Prize Fish" _____
 Category 2 "Reef Fish" _____
 Category 3 "Table Fish" _____
 Category 4 "Bread & Butter Fish" _____
 Other _____

(continued over page)

- ☒ I agree with the strategy of no minimum lengths on fish in category 4.
☒ I agree with the recommended bag and size limits as outlined.
☐ I disagree with _____ and suggest _____

- ☐ I agree that trip limits should be 2 fishing days catch allowance.
☒ I disagree and suggest 3 DAYS CATCH ALLOWANCE

5.5.5 FISHING METHODS

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-controlled line/angling methods.
☐ I agree with strategy 2-other capture methods should be reviewed.
☐ I agree with strategy 3-commercial nets not to be used by recreational fishermen.
☐ I agree with strategy 4-random tagging should be encouraged.
☐ I agree with strategy 5-numbers of terminal rigs should be limited.
☐ I agree with strategy 6-legal fishing gear should be defined.
☐ I agree with strategy 7-encourage responsible competitions.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest that _____

5.6 ENFORCEMENT

- ☒ I agree with strategies 1,2,3,& 4 on field enforcement issues
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest _____
☐ I agree with the strategies (1)of unit value penalty system and (2)debate about the merits or otherwise of on-the-spot-fines.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest _____

5.7 CHARTER FISHING

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-charter boats be subject to recreational fishing rules.
☐ I agree with strategy 2-information service to target charter boats.
☐ I agree with strategy 3-charter boats catches be monitored.
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest that _____

5.8 FUNDING

- ☒ I agree with strategy 1-use of a recreational fishing licenses provided funds raised are protected and used for recreation fishing purposes only.
☐ I disagree and suggest that _____

5.9 COMMUNITY EDUCATION

- ☒ I agree with strategies 1,2, & 3 on community involvement through a central advisory committee, regional committees, and a trust fund.
☐ I disagree and suggest that _____

5.10 PUBLIC AWARENESS

- ☒ I agree with strategies 1,2, & 3 on the strategies for public awareness
☐ I disagree with strategy _____ and suggest _____

OTHER SUGGESTIONS _____

SIGNATURE _____

Appendix 2

To: The Executive Officer,
Rec. Fishing Advisory Committee
c/o Fisheries Dept
108 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth, WA 6004

From: _____
Address: _____
Date: _____

Re: Submission regarding "The Future For Recreational Fishing" Discussion Paper, March 1990 (Summary of Issues)

1.0 RECOMMENDATION FOR BAG LIMITS AND MINIMUM SIZES

- ☒ I agree with the concept of bag limits and minimum sizes
☐ I generally agree with the concept, but would like to make the following changes:

— Category 1 changes _____
— Category 2 changes _____
— Category 3 changes _____
— Category 4 changes _____

2.0 NETTING

- ☒ I propose that all netting by amateurs be banned, except for prawning, crab and marron nets prescribing to legal specifications.
☐ As above, but allow "throw" bait nets to be used by amateurs
☐ I disagree, and suggest that _____

3.0 TRIP LIMITS

- ☒ I agree with a trip limit being equal to 2 days catch allowance.
☐ I disagree, and suggest that _____

4.0 SHAMATEUR FISH SALES

- ☒ I agree that penalties be imposed similar to section 32 offences.
☐ I disagree and suggest that _____

5.0 FISHING LICENCES

- ☒ I agree with the recommendations made, provided that monies raised are used for recreational fishing purposes only.
☐ I disagree and suggest that _____

6.0 OTHER COMMENTS / IDEAS / SUGGESTIONS

Appendix 3

SLIP 10

FREEPOST 21

Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee

C/- Fisheries Department of WA

108 Adelaide Terrace, East Perth 6002



MY OPINION IS:-

IS THERE A NEED FOR:-

ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

EQUITABLE RESOURCE SHARING BETWEEN COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL FISHERS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

EQUITABLE RESOURCE SHARING BETWEEN RECREATIONAL USER GROUPS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

SPEAR FISHING TO BE RESTRICTED DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

RESTRICTING THE TAKING OF FISH ETC. WITH THE USE OF COMPRESSED AIR DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

TRAWLING TO BE RESTRICTED IN RECREATIONAL FISHING AREAS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

RECREATIONAL NETTING TO BE RESTRICTED DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

CREATION OF EXCLUSIVE RECREATIONAL FISHING AREAS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

ARTIFICIAL REEFS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

A BUY-BACK OF COMMERCIAL LICENSES IN POPULAR RECREATIONAL FISHING AREAS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

CONCERN ABOUT ACCESS TO FISHING AREAS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH INTO RECREATIONAL FISHING AND EXPLOITED SPECIES DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

ABORIGINALS TO BE EXEMPT FROM FISHERIES REGULATIONS DON'T KNOW ☒ YES ☐ NO - WHY

IS THERE A NEED FOR:-

COMMERCIAL FISHERMAN TO ALSO HOLD RECREATIONAL LICENSES DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

DAG LIMITS TO BE CHANGED DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

MINIMUM SIZES TO BE CHANGED DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

TRIP LIMITS TO STOP SHAMATEURS DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A BAN ON FILLETING OF FISH (i.e. TRIP LIMITS) DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A RESTRICTION ON FISHING COMPETITIONS DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A RESTRICTION ON RECREATIONAL LONG DROP LINES DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A RESTRICTION ON THE RANDOM TAGGING OF FISH DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

MORE FISHERIES ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

THE INTRODUCTION OF ON THE SPOT FINES DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

CHARTER FISHING BOATS TO BE CONTROLLED UNDER RECREATIONAL FISHING GUIDELINES DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A GENERAL RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENCE TO FUND RECREATIONAL FISHING DEVELOPMENT DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

A GENERAL RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENCE FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

AN ONGOING COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE DON'T KNOW/YES/NO - WHY

OTHER CONCERNS

NAME

ADDRESS

Appendix 4

THE FUTURE OF RECREATIONAL FISHING - SURVEY OF KEY ISSUES

PRELIMINARY INFORMATION

VENUE ATTENDED.....

WHAT IS THE POSTCODE OF YOUR PRINCIPLE RESIDENCE?

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD DESCRIBE YOUR MAIN TYPE OF FISHING?

Diving ____ Boating ____ Beach/Rock ____ Netting ____ Estuary ____ Freshwater ____

HOW OFTEN DID YOU FISH IN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS?

Infrequently ____ Frequently ____ More than 30 days ____

PLEASE MARK YOUR CHOICE OF ANSWER
WITH AN (X) IN THE BOX ALONGSIDE THE
FOLLOWING STATEMENT RELATING TO
RECREATIONAL FISHING

STRONGLY AGREE
AGREE
NOT SURE
DISAGREE
STRONGLY DISAGREE

1. There is a need for environmental concern
2. There is a need for equitable resource sharing between commercial and recreational fishers.
3. There is a need for equitable resource sharing between recreation user groups.
4. There is a need for spear fishing to be restricted.
5. There is a need to restrict the taking of fish/shellfish with the aid of compressed air.
6. There is a need for trawling to be restricted in recreational fishing areas.
7. There is a need for recreational netting to be restricted.
8. There is a need for creation of exclusive recreational fishing areas.
9. There is a need to give priority for further research into artificial reefs.
10. There is a need for a buy-back of commercial licenses in popular recreational fishing areas.
11. There is a need for concern about access to fishing areas.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NOT SURE	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE
12. There is a need for scientific research into recreational fishing and exploited species.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. There is a need for Aboriginals to be exempt from fisheries regulation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. There is a need for commercial fisherment to also hold recreational licenses.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. There is a need for bag limits to be changed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. There is a need for minimum sizes to be changed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. There is a need for trip limits to stop shamateurs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. There is a need for a ban on filletting of fish (ie trip limits)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. There is a need for restriction of fishing competitions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. There is a need for a restriction on the random tagging of fish.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. There is a need for more fisheries enforcement officers.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23. There is a need for the introduction of on the spot fines for minor fishing offences.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24. There is a need for charter fishing boats to be controlled under recreational fishing guidelines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25. There is a need for a general recreational fishing license fee to fund recreational fishing developments.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26. There is a need for a general recreational fishing license to produce data for research purposes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27. There is a need for ongoing community consultation committees.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28. There is a need for more community education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU MAY HAVE CAN BE DETAILED IN THE SPACE BELOW. YOUR OPINIONS AND INFORMATION WILL HELP DETERMINE THE FUTURE OF RECREATIONAL FISHING.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be a standard notebook page, possibly from a composition book. The edges of the paper are slightly irregular, suggesting it might be a scan of a physical document. There is no handwriting or other markings on the page.

Appendix 5

The future for RECREATIONAL FISHING

SURVEY OF KEY ISSUES

Meeting date: Meeting venue:

FUNDING & LICENSING

- 1** On what basis would you support the introduction of a general Recreational Fishing Licence to finance the needs of recreational fishing?

(tick one)

that funds generated from licensing go into consolidated government revenue for general government use ☐ 1

that funds generated from licensing go into a trust fund to be used ONLY for the management of recreational fishing and not for general government use ☐ 2

would not support the introduction of a general Recreational Fishing Licence at all ☐ 3

- 2** Do you think that the current level of government expenditure on recreational fishing is sufficient?

(tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ 2

- 3** Do you support the introduction of licences for specific species?

(e.g., abalone, prawns, crabs, freshwater finfish [trout, redfin perch] and saltwater finfish [snapper, tuna, etc.])

(tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ 2

- 4** What strategies do you think should have priority funding in the management of recreational fishing?

Rank the following 8 items in order of priority. A ranking of "1" would indicate that the item should be given the highest priority. A ranking of "8" would indicate that the item should be given the lowest priority.

Developing educational programmes on fishing and fish resource management ☐ a

Reducing commercial fishing through the buy-back of licences ☐ e

Undertaking research on tailor/mulloway and other species targeted by recreational fishers ☐ b

Research into aquaculture and fish stocking programmes ☐ f

Appointment of additional Enforcement Officers ☐ c

Research and establishment of artificial reefs ☐ g

Establishment and management of priority fishing areas ☐ d

Provision of boat ramps and fishing platforms ☐ h

- 5** One of the strategies suggested in Question 4 (above) is the reduction of commercial fishing through the buy-back of licences. Which commercial fisheries do you think should be a priority for a licence buy-back scheme?

a

b

c

page 2

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

- 6 Do you think that a PERMANENT 'Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee' should be established to provide advice to the Minister for Fisheries on the continuing management, research and funding of recreational fishing?

(tick one)
 No ☐ 1 → Go to Question 8
 Yes ☐ 2

- 7 If a trust fund was established, do you think that the Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee should administer the trust fund?

(tick one)
 No ☐ 1
 Yes ☐ 2

MANAGEMENT RULES

- 8 Do you think that the bag limits for the following four categories are acceptable?

Category 1 - (Prize fish) - 5 fish of each species/person/day
 Category 2 - (Reef fish) - a mixed bag of 10 fish/person/day
 Category 3 - (Table fish) - 20 fish of each species/person/day
 Category 4 - (Bread & butter fish) - 50 fish of each species/person/day
 (see page 68 of the recreational fishing discussion paper for species)

(tick one)
 No ☐ 1
 Yes ☐ 2 → Go to Question 10

- 9 What changes to these bag limits do you propose?

	Reduce limit to: (number)		Increase limit to: (number)
Prize fish	<input type="text"/> a		<input type="text"/> e
Reef fish	<input type="text"/> b		<input type="text"/> f
Table fish	<input type="text"/> c		<input type="text"/> g
Bread & butter fish	<input type="text"/> d		<input type="text"/> h

- 10 Do you think any species should be added to any of the four categories?

(tick one)
 No ☐ 1 → Go to Question 12
 Yes ☐ 2

11 Which species should be added?

(write name of species here)

Prize fish		a
Reef fish		b
Table fish		c
Bread & butter fish		d

12 Do you think any species should be removed from any of the four categories?

(tick one)

No ☐ 1 → Go to Question 14

Yes ☐ 2

13 Which species should be removed?

(write name of species here)

Prize fish		a
Reef fish		b
Table fish		c
Bread & butter fish		d

14 Do you support trip limits as a fish conservation strategy?

(tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ 2

15 Which of the following fishing methods should not be included as a recreational activity?

(tick one or more)

Recreational net fishing in estuaries ☐ a

Recreational net fishing in all waters ☐ b

Use of long lines ☐ c

Compressed air spearfishing ☐ d

(tick one or more)

Free diving spearfishing ☐ e

Drop lines ☐ f

Unattended set lines ☐ g

Game fishing ☐ h

Multiple hook bait chasers ☐ j

page 4

16 Should there be a limit on the number of hooks used on:

a. Unattended set lines (tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ → (number) ☐ (office use)

c. Drop lines (tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ → (number) ☐ (office use)

b. Long lines (tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ → (number) ☐ (office use)

d. Hand lines/rods (tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ → (number) ☐ (office use)

17 Do you think that on-the-spot infringement lines should be issued for minor offences?

(tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ 2

18 Do you think that there should be harsher penalties for illegal fish sales by recreational fishers?

(tick one)

No ☐ 1

Yes ☐ 2

YOUR FISHING HABITS

19 On how many days did you fish in the last 12 months?

(tick one)

less than 5 days ☐ 1

5 to 10 days ☐ 2

11 to 25 days ☐ 3

26 days or more ☐ 4

don't know ☐ 5

20 In which of the following areas did you fish in the last 12 months? (tick one or more)

metropolitan ☐ a south west ☐ c Shark Bay/Exmouth ☐ e Kimberley ☐ g

central ☐ b south coast ☐ d north west ☐ f

21 Which ONE of the following would describe your main type of fishing? (tick one)

angling ☐ 1 rock lobster ☐ 3 prawning ☐ 5 crabbing ☐ 7

netting ☐ 2 marron ☐ 4 abalone ☐ 6 diving ☐ 8

If returning your completed questionnaire by mail, send to:
No stamp is needed if you write the freepost number
on the front of the envelope

FREEPOST 21
The Executive Officer
Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee
108 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth WA 6004

Thank you for your co-operation

Fisheries management papers

- No.1 The Report of the Southern Western Australian Shark Working Group. (1986) (Chairman P. Millington)
- No.2 The report of the Fish Farming Legislative Review Committee. (1986) (Chairman P. Rogers)
- No.3 Management Measures for the Shark Bay Snapper 1987 Season. (1986) (P. Millington)
- No.4 The Esperance Rock Lobster Working Group. (1986) (Chairman A. Pallot)
- No.5 The Windy Harbour - Augusta Rock Lobster Working Group. (1986) (Interim Report by the Chairman A. Pallot)
- No.6 The King George Sound Purse Seine Fishery Working Group. (1986) (Chairman R. Brown)
- No.7 Management Measures for the Cockburn Sound Mussel Fishery. (1986) (H. Brayford)
- No.8 Report of the Rock Lobster Industry Advisory meeting of 27 January 1987 (1987). (Chairman B. Bowen)
- No.9 Western Rock Lobster Industry Compensation Study. (1987) (Arthur Young Services)
- No.10 Further Options for Management of the Shark Bay Snapper Fishery. (1987) (P. Millington)
- No.11 The Shark Bay Scallop Fishery. (1987) (L. Joll)
- No.12 Report of the Rock Lobster Industry Advisory Committee to the Hon Minister for Fisheries 24 September 1987. (1987)
- No.13 A Development Plan for the South Coast Inshore Trawl Fishery. (1987)
- No.14 Draft Management Plan for the Perth Metropolitan Purse Seine Fishery. (1987) (P. Millington)
- No.15 Draft management plan, Control of barramundi gillnet fishing in the Kimberley. (1988) (R. S. Brown)
- No.16 The South West Trawl Fishery Draft Management Plan. (1988) (P. Millington)
- No.17 The final report of the pearling industry review committee. (1988) (F.J. Malone, D.A. Hancock, B. Jeffriess)
- No.18 Policy for Freshwater Aquaculture in Western Australia. (1988)
- No.19 Sport Fishing for Marron in Western Australia - Management for the Future. (1988)
- No.20 The Offshore Constitutional Settlement, Western Australia 1988.
- No.21 Commercial fishing licensing in Western Australia. (1989)
- No.22 Economics and marketing of Western Australian pilchards. (1988) (SCP Fisheries Consultants Pty Ltd)
- No.23 Management of the south-west inshore trawl fishery. (1989) (N. Moore)

- No.24 Management of the Perth metropolitan purse-seine fishery. (1989). (Noel Moore)
- No.25 Rock Lobster Industry Advisory Committee report to the Minister for Fisheries November 1988. (1989)
- No.26 A report on marron fishing in Western Australia. (1989) (Chairman Doug Wenn MLC)
- No.27 A review of the Shark Bay pearling industry. (1989) (Dr. D.A.Hancock, Ph.D, D.Sc)
- No.28 Southern demersal gillnet and longline fishery. (1989)
- No.29 Distribution and marketing of Western Australian rock lobster. (P. Monaghan) (1989)
- No.30 Foreign investment in the rock lobster industry. (1989)
- No.31 Rock Lobster Industry Advisory Committee report to the Hon Minister for Fisheries September 1989. (1989)
- No.32 Fishing Licences as security for loans. (P. Rogers) (1989)
- No.33 Guidelines for by-laws for those Abrolhos Islands set aside for fisheries purposes. (Noel Moore) (1989)
- No.34 The future for recreational fishing - issues for community discussion. (Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee) (1990)
- No.35 Future policy for charter fishing operations in Western Australia. (P. Millington) 1990.
- No. 36 Long term management measures for the Cockburn Sound restricted entry fishery. (Peter Millington) (1990)
- No.37 Western rock lobster industry marketing report 1989/90 season. (MAREC Pty Ltd - July 1990)
- No. 38 The economic impact of recreational fishing in Western Australia. (R.K. Lindner, P.B. McLeod)(1991)
- No.39 Establishment of a registry to record charges against fishing licences when used as security for loans. (P. Rogers) (1991)
- No.40 The future for Recreational Fishing - Forum Proceedings. (Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee) (1991)
- No.41 The future for Recreational Fishing - The Final Report of the Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee. (Recreational Fishing Advisory Committee) (in press)